UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,721	04/07/2008	Martin Paul Moshal	06-487	6101
	7590 02/11/201 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR			AHMED, MASUD	
CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3717	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/584,721	MOSHAL, MARTIN PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MASUD AHMED	3717				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address				
Period for Reply	LV 10 05T TO EVENE - MON	TH/O) OD THIDTY/(00) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period: Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 i	February 2008.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2008 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	tre: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection accepted or b) \square objection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
 1) Notice of References Cited (F10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/27/07,1/25/08. 	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3717

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. **Claim 1** is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 4 has recites the word "means" twice. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-6 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 2002/0032056.

Regarding claim 1-6, and 12-17, Oh discloses an online gaming system and method usable by a plurality of participating players to play at least one multiplayer game, game recording means for generating a game play record of events occurring in the multiplayer game, a historical log capable of storing the generated game play record, a replay means capable of retrieving the stored game play record from the historical log and generating therefrom a replay of the at least one turn of the multiplayer game, the replay being delayed relative to the original turn of the game by at least a predetermined

Art Unit: 3717

time interval (this is inherent in a replay as it must be broadcast at some time after the original game play event has occurred), and a broadcast facility arranged to convert the replay into a signal for broadcast (See Oh Abstract, paragraphs 0010-0030).

Specifically, Oh discloses a network gaming system wherein multiple players may simultaneously participate in an online game and the online game data is recorded for broadcasting purposes. The recorded game data is stored in a server and transmitted to a relay server for distribution to participants (paragraph 0023). Collected game data includes all data generated in between a player's computer and the network game server or in between the players computers, such as data on the current game status, file inputs/outputs, etc., which is then converted into a signal for broadcast by the broadcast facility (paragraph 0024).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3717

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. Claims 7-11 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh (US 2002/0032056 A1), in view of Lemmons et al (US 2002/0034980 A1).

Regarding claim 7-11, 18-21, Oh does not specifically disclose the application of the broadcast system as described above to a multiplayer poker game wherein data relevant to a poker game may be viewed and replayed by participants. However, Lemmons discloses an interactive multiplayer gaming system wherein poker games and corresponding poker game data may be broadcast to players (paragraphs 0087-0095; Fig. 8 and 9) as well as replayed as desired (paragraph 0049). Further, relevant game standings and statistics may be calculated and displayed to viewers (paragraph 0051), therefore it would have been obvious to ordinary skilled artisan at the time of invention to modify Oh by Lemmons to include multiplayer poker game broadcast along with history and statistics of the game to convince the new players to play the game which would generate revenue for the casino and at the same time possible win and entertainment for the player.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MASUD AHMED whose telephone number is (571)270-

Art Unit: 3717

1315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 10:00am-7:00pm, Alt Fri,

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Melba Bumgarner can be reached on 571 272 4709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Masud Ahmed/ Examiner, Art Unit 3717